

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMS ONES FOR PATENTS 7.0. Bot 1440 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upty.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,822	11/20/2003	James Chien-Chiung Chen	TUC920030129US1 8660		
36491 VINZI ED &	491 7590 01/19/2007 UNZLER & ASSOCIATES		EXAMINER		
8 EAST BROADWAY SUITE 600 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			BROWN, MICHAEL J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
0.11.71 13.111.12	<u> </u>		2116		
	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
•			01/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/717,822		CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael J. Brown	2116	

= • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	AILOIIIL				
	Michael J. Brown	2116				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) 🔀 They raise new issues that would require further co	-	TE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	,					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		-				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-37</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendments to claims 1, 15, 16, 23, 24, and 37 change the scope of the claims and thus require additional search and consideration. Examiner's chosen prior art meets the initial claim by invoking the new code image to perform a memory initialization operation, but invoking the new code image to perform a memory initialization operation "while the old cold image is still executing" would require Examiner to look deeper into the reference and/or conduct an additional search.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER